

Journal of Alloys and Compounds 216 (1994) 231-235

Journal of ALLOYS AND COMPOUNDS

The crystal structure of a new ternary germanide, $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$

O.L. Sologub, Yu.M. Prots', P.S. Salamakha*, V.K. Pecharsky, O.I. Bodak

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, L'viv State University, Lomonosov Str. 6, 290005 L'viv, Ukraine

Received 22 April 1994

Abstract

The crystal structure of the compound Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} was determined by the single-crystal method (DARTCH-1 automatic diffractometer, Mo K α radiation).

Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} is a substitutional variant of the Er₂₆Ge_{23-x} structure type: space group P4/nmm, a = 14.523(6) Å, c = 10.318(2) Å, V = 2176(2) Å³, Z = 2, $R_F = 0.0428$ for 841 unique reflections.

The coordination numbers of the holmium atoms are 14-17. For the germanium atoms tetragonal antiprisms and trigonal prisms are typical as coordination polyhedra. Those for the palladium atoms are trigonal prisms and cubes. All coordination polyhedra have additional atoms.

The structure of $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$ can be interpreted as being built up of three kinds of fragment formed by rare earth atoms: (a) a stacking of tetragonal antiprisms and cubes; (b) a column of cubes; (c) a chain of trigonal prisms and tetragonal pyramids. The relationships between the $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$ structure and the $Er_{26}Ge_{23-x}$ and $Ce_{26}Li_5Ge_{22+x}$ structure types are discussed.

Keywords: Germanides; Arc melting; Annealing

1. Introduction

Investigations of the ternary system Ho-Pd-Ge showed that besides the phases known in the literature – HoPd₂Ge (YPd₂Si type) [1], HoPdGe (CeCu₂ type) [2], HoPd_{1-x}Ge₂ (CeNiSi₂ type), HoPd_{0.6}Ge_{1.4} (AlB₂ type), Ho₂PdGe₆ (Ce₂CuGe₆ type), HoPd₂Ge₂ (Ce-Ga₂Al₂ type) [3] and Ho₃Pd₄Ge₄ (Gd₃Cu₄Ge₄ type) [4] there exists a compound Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} with a structure similar to $Er_{26}Ge_{23-x}$ [5]. This paper presents the results of a structure determination of the new ternary Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} phase.

2. Experimental details

A sample of $Ho_{50}Pd_{15}Ge_{35}$ was prepared from high purity elements (Ho, 99.9 wt.%; Ge and Pd, 99.99 wt.%) by direct arc melting under an argon atmosphere, annealing at 870 K in evacuated quartz ampoules for 2 weeks and quenching in cold water. The weight loss during the synthesis of an ingot of mass 0.5 g was 0.1%.

Prism-like single crystals of the ternary germanide with dimensions of $0.015 \times 0.015 \times 0.050$ mm³ were studied preliminarily using the Laue, rotation and Weissenberg methods (Mo K α and Cu K α radiation).

A survey of diffraction data for the Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} structure was carried out using a DARTCH-1 automatic four-circle diffractometer with a graphite monochromator (1333 measured and 841 unique reflections, Mo K α radiation, θ -2 θ scanning, cut-off threshold $I(hkl) > 4\sigma(I)$ and absorption correction by the azimuthal scan technique). The unit cell parameters were refined from the 2θ values of 28 reflections (Mo K α radiation, $16^{\circ} < 2\theta < 33^{\circ}$). Attempts to refine the displacement parameters of Pd1 atoms (2c) in the anisotropic approximation failed, so the isotropic approximation was used. The difference in the number of electrons of Pd and Ge atoms permitted us to distinguish easily their relative contributions to the diffraction pattern of Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}. The M1 and M2 sites are fully occupied statistically by Pd and Ge atoms. Only for one position, 2c, which is partially

^{*}Corresponding author.

Atom	Wyckoff position	Occupation	x/a	y/b	z/c	$\begin{array}{c} B_{eqv} \times 10^2 \\ (\text{\AA}^2) \end{array}$
Ho1	4f	100	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.2690(3)	0.53(8)
Ho2	8h	100	0.3688(1)	-x	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.54(4)
Ho3	8 <i>i</i>	100	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.0709(2)	0.9446(2)	0.76(6)
Ho4	8 <i>i</i>	100	1 4	0.0665(2)	0.3844(2)	0.62(5)
Ho5 Ho6 Pd1	8j 16k 2c	100 100 0.5Pd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3922(1) \\ 0.3805(1) \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	x 0.57087(3) $\frac{1}{4}$	0.6647(2) 0.1745(2) 0.184(2)	0.58(4) 0.63(4) 1.5(3)
Pd2 Ge1	8j 2c	100 100	0.3682(2) $\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.1729(4) 0.7904(11)	0.94(7) 1.0(2)
Ge2	8 <i>i</i>	100	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.5379(3)	0.6926(5)	0.88(13)
Ge3 Ge4 M1	8j 8j 2c	100 100 0.79Ge + 0.21Pd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4538(2) \\ 0.4420(2) \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	x x 1 4	0.3880(5) 0.9597(5) 0.5396(9)	0.60(10) 0.49(10) 0.6(2)
M2	8 <i>i</i>	0.73Ge + 0.27Pd	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.6300(3)	0.9716(4)	0.82(11)

Structural data for Ho ₂₆ Pd₄(Pd,	Ge) _{19-x} ; $P4/nmm$, $a = 14.523$	(6) Å, $c = 10.318(2)$ Å,	$V=2176(2)$ Å ³ , $Z=2$, $R_{\rm F}=0.0428$

 $B_{eqv} = \frac{1}{3}(B_{11}a^{*2}a^2 + ... + 2B_{23}b^*c^*bc \cos \alpha)$. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

Table 2 Anisotropic parameters for the $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$ structure

Atom	B ₁₁	B ₂₂	B ₃₃	<i>B</i> ₁₂	B ₁₃	B ₂₃
Ho1	0.21(14)	0.61(14)	0.75(14)	0	0	0
Ho2	0.55(5)	B ₁₁	0.50(8)	-0.12(7)	-0.02(6)	$-B_{13}$
Ho3	0.50(9)	1.03(10)	0.74(10)	0	0	-0.01(10)
Ho4	0.55(9)	0.71(10)	0.57(9)	0	0	- 0.02(9)
Ho5	0.64(5)	B ₁₁	0.45(8)	-0.10(8)	-0.02(6)	$-B_{13}$
Ho6	0.75(6)	0.61(6)	0.51(7)	-0.12(5)	0.01(7)	-0.08(6)
Pd2	0.94(9)	B ₁₁	0.9(2)	-0.05(13)	0.04(11)	$-B_{13}$
Ge1	0.8(3)	B_{11}	1.7(6)	0	0	0
Ge2	0.4(2)	0.5(2)	1.8(3)	0	0.5(2)	0
Ge3	0.55(14)	B ₁₁	0.7(2)	-0.0(2)	0.06(4)	$-B_{13}$
Ge4	0.64(13)	B ₁₁	0.6(2)	0.2(2)	-0.16(13)	$-B_{13}$
M 1	0.7(3)	B ₁₁	0.6(5)	0	0	0
M2	0.8(2)	1.5(2)	1.1(2)	0	0	-0.4(2)

 $T = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}(B_{11}a^{*2}h^2 + \dots + 2B_{23}b^*c^*kl)\right].$

filled (Pd1), could the type of occupying atoms not be determined absolutely. However, on the basis of crystallochemical considerations, we assumed that it was occupied by Pd atoms.

The crystal structure was solved by direct methods in the space group P4/nmm using a program from the CSD system [6]. The structure determination and refinements of the positional and anisotropic displacements of atoms based on |F| values converged to the final values $R_{\rm F} = 0.0428$ and $R_{\rm W} = 0.0443$.

3. Results

The atomic positional parameters, anisotropic displacement parameters and interatomic distances are given in Tables 1–3 respectively.

The projection of the unit cell of the compound on the XY plane and the coordination polyhedra of the atoms are shown in Fig. 1. For simplicity, only half of the unit cell along [001] is given here. The other half of the unit cell may be obtained after transformation of the presented part by using the n plane perpendicular to [001]. The coordination polyhedra of the holmium atoms have 14-17 apexes: Ho1[Ho8Ge2M4] (a), Ho2- $[Ho_{10}Ge_4]$ (b), $Ho3[Ho_8Pd_3Ge_4M]$ (c), $Ho4[Ho_8Pd_3 Ge_3M$] (d), Ho5[Ho₁₀Ge₆M] (e) and Ho6[Ho₉PdGe₄M₂] (f). Those of the palladium atoms are cubes with four additional atoms, Pd1[Ho₈Pd₄] (g), and distorted trigonal prisms with additional atoms, Pd2[Ho₆PdGe₂] (h). The Ge and M atoms occupy the centres of tetragonal antiprisms with additional atoms, $Ge1[Ho_8M]$ (i) and M1[Ho₈Ge] (m), and distorted trigonal prisms

Table 1

Table 3

Interatomic distances d (Å) in the Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} structure

Table 3 (con	ntinued)
--------------	----------

(CN, coordination number)		Ноб-Ноб	3.789(6)
		Ho6	3.910(6)
Ho12M2	3.032(6)	Ho3	3.990(6)
2Ge2	3.106(8)		<i>CN</i> =17
4H06	3.363(6)	Dd1 4Dd2	2 420(7)
4Ho2	3.411(5)	Ful-4Fu2	2.450(7)
2M2	3.529(6)	4H04	3.308(12)
	<i>CN</i> =14	4H03	3.593(14) CN=12
Ho2-2Ge2	2.959(7)		CN = 12
2Ge2	3.082(7)	Pd2–Pd1	2.430(7)
2Ho1	3 411(5)	Ge4	2.672(7)
21101	3 450(6)	Ge3	2.831(7)
2Ho6	3 476(3)	2Ho4	2.933(6)
21100	3,550(6)	2Ho6	2.949(7)
21104	3.879(6)	2Ho3	3.046(6)
21100	CN - 14		CN=9
	C/V = 14	Ge1–M1	2.588(15)
Ho3–2Ge4	2.800(7)	4Ho3	3.049(9)
M2	2.931(8)	4Ho5	3.196(8)
Ge2	3.043(7)		CN=9
2Pd2	3.046(6)	0-0 20-0	2.050(7)
Ge1	3.049(9)	Gez-2Hoz	2.959(7)
2Hc5	3.590(5)	2Ho5	2.970(7)
Pd1	3.593(14)	Ho3	3.043(7)
2Hc6	3.668(5)	Hol	3.106(8)
2Hc3	3.679(7)	2Ho6	3.172(6)
2Hc6	3.990(6)	M2	3.175(8)
	CN = 16		CN=9
Ho4 2Pd2	2 033/6)	Ge3-Pd2	2.831(7)
26.2	2.935(0)	2Ho4	2.974(7)
2005 M1	2.374(7) 3.100(8)	2Ho6	2.980(7)
Del1	2 269(12)	Ge3	2.993(8)
21106	3.503(12)	2Ho2	3.082(7)
2000	3.502(5)	Ho5	3.123(6)
	3.322(7)	Ho5	3.210(7)
2H02	3.336(0)		CN = 10
2005	3.004(3)	0-4.0-4	2,521(8)
2004	5.766(0)	Ge4Ge4	2.521(8)
	CN = 15	Pd2	2.072(7)
Ho5–2Ge2	2.970(7)	2H03	2.800(7)
Ge3	3.123(6)	2H06	2.932(7)
M 1	3.193(7)	2H06	3.053(6)
Ge1	3.196(8)	Hoo	3.211(6)
Ge3	3.210(7)		CN=9
Ge4	3.211(6)	M1–Ge1	2.588(15)
2Ho3	3.590(5)	4Ho4	3.109(8)
2Ho4	3.604(5)	4Ho5	3.193(7)
2Ho5	3.734(6)		CN=9
2Ho2	3.879(6)		0.524(0)
2Ho5	4.131(6)	M2-2M2	2.534(8)
	CN = 17	Ho3	2.931(8)
		2H06	2.952(6)
Ho6-Ge4	2.932(7)	2H06	3.010(6)
Pd2	2.949(7)	Hol	3.032(6)
M2	2.952(6)	Ge2	3.175(8)
Ge3	2.980(7)		CN=9
M2	3.010(6)	·	······································
Ge4	3.035(6)		
Ge2	3.172(6)	with additional a	atoms, Ge2[Ho ₈ M] (j), Ge3[Ho ₈ PdGe]
Ho1	3.363(6)	(k), Ge4(Ho-Pd	Ge] (1) and M2[Ho _c GeM ₂] (n).
Ho2	3.476(3)		-] ()[0.000112] (1).
Ho4	3.502(5)		
Ho3	3.668(5)	4 Discussion	
Ho5	3.734(6)	-1. 215Cu551011	
Ho6	3.736(3)	According to	Fig. 2, where a simplified projection

(continued)

According to Fig. 2, where a simplified projection of the considered structure on the XZ plane is presented,



Fig. 1. Projection of the $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$ unit cell in the region (0-0.5)z on the XY plane and coordination polyhedra of the atoms.



Fig. 2. Three basic fragments in the Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} structure (projection on the XZ plane): a, column of tetragonal antiprisms and cube 1 (vertical lines); b, column of distorted cubes 2 (diagonal lines) and 3 (horizontal lines); c, chain of trigonal prisms and tetragonal pyramids.

one can pick out three basic fragments. Columns of tetragonal antiprisms and cubes in the ratio 2:1 centred by Pd and Ge atoms form the first fragment (Fig. 2a). Similar links exist in the Ru_3Sn_7 structure type [7]. The second fragment is formed only from distorted cubes occupied by X atoms (diagonal lines in Fig. 2b) or Ho atoms (horizontal lines in Fig. 2b) in the ratio 1:2. Each column is surrounded by four chains of trigonal prisms and tetragonal pyramids (Fig. 2c) to give the third fragment. For a better perception, this fragment is shown along the [1 1 0] direction. Cubes 1, 2 and 3 are shaded by vertical, diagonal and horizontal lines respectively. The same type of shading has been used in Fig. 3.

The joining of these fragments can be easily seen from the sections of the $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$ structure along the [001] direction shown in Fig. 3. Here we neglect the distortion of the cubes. Cube 2 and four



Fig. 3. Stacking of the basic fragments in the $Ho_{26}Pd_4(Pd, Ge)_{19-x}$ structure (projection on the XY plane): a, section in the region (0.18–0.62)z; b, section in the region (0.62–1.18)z.

empty tetragonal pyramids are connected by common planes (Fig. 3a). The environment of cube 3 differs from that of cube 2 by the presence of two trigonal prisms instead of two tetragonal pyramids. The apexes of four tetragonal pyramids form the common plane for cube 1 and the tetragonal antiprisms (Figs. 3a and 3b). Four trigonal prisms are connected by a plane common to two antiprism edges.

The crystal structure of Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} represents a substitutional variant of the Er₂₆Ge_{23-x} structure type [5]. The difference between the two structures lies only in the replacement of germanium atoms by palladium atoms in positions 2c and 8j and the presence of a statistical distribution of Ge and Pd atoms in positions 2c and 2i. A reduction of the interatomic distances exists in both structures. In the Ho₂₆Pd₄(Pd, Ge)_{19-x} structure they are within the usual limits for intermetallic compounds, i.e. 7%–11% shorter than the sum of the atomic radii of the components (Ho, 1.766 Å; Pd, 1.376 Å; Ge, 1.369 Å [8]). Other isotypical ternary phases are not yet known. Only the compound Ce₂₆Li₅Ge_{22+x} [9], where the Li atoms occupy empty tetragonal pyramids, has a similar structure.

References

- [1] J.L. Jorda, M. Ishikawa and E. Hovestreydt, J. Less-Common Met., 92 (1983) 155-161.
- [2] E. Hovestreydt, N. Engel, K. Klepp, B. Chabot and E. Parthe, J. Less-Common Met., 85 (1982) 247-274.
- [3] O.I. Bodak and O.L. Sologub, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Neorg. Mater., 27 (12) (1991) 2558–2560.
- [4] R.E. Gladyshevskij, O.L. Sologub and E. Parthe, J. Alloys Comp., 176 (1991) 329–335.
- [5] O.Ya. Oleksyn, V.K. Pecharsky, O.I. Bodak and V.K. Belsky, Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. RSR A, 8 (1989) 81-84.
- [6] L.G. Aksel'rud, Yu.N. Gryn, P.Yu. Zavalij, V.K. Pecharsky and V.S. Fundamensky, Proc. XII Eur. Crystallographic Meet., Moscow, August 1989, Academy of Sciences, Viniti, Moscow, 1989, p. 155.
- [7] P.I. Kryp'yakevich, Structure Types of the Intermetallic Compounds, Nauka, Moscow, 1977, p. 117.
- [8] W.P. Pearson, The Crystal Chemistry and Physics of Metals and Alloys, Vol. 1, Mir, Moscow, 1971, p. 190.
- [9] V.V. Pavluk, V.K. Pecharsky, O.I. Bodak and V.A. Bruskov, Kristallographiya, 32 (6) (1987) 70-73.